● AO 47	2 (Rev. 12/03) Orget of Detention Pendi	ngilivial Doc # 6 File	ed 08/22/12 P	g 1 of 3 Pg ID 8	*
		TED STATES			
	Eastern	Distri	ct of	Michigan	
	UNITED STATES OF AMER	ICA			
GER	ALD MC ARITHUR PA	RRIS	ORDER OF Case Number:	F <b>DETENTION PEN</b> 12-305/	DING TRIAL
In acc detention	cordance with the Bail Reform Act, 1 of the defendant pending trial in this	8 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a deter case.	tion hearing has been	held. I conclude that the foll	owing facts require the
	The defendant is shown I will a com	Part I—Fin	dings of Fact		
[	The defendant is charged with an offer local offense that would have been a crime of violence as defined in an offense for which the maximum an offense for which a maximum	a federal offense if a circu 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). m sentence is life imprison	mstance giving rise to ment or death.	federal jurisdiction had existe	leral offense  state
[	a felony that was committed after	the defendant had been co	nvicted of two or mor	e prior federal offenses descri	bed in 18 U.S.C.
(3) A	§ 3142(I)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable offense described in finding (1) was period of not more than five years hor the offense described in finding (1)	ole state or local offenses.  /as committed while the de  /as elapsed since the   (a)	fendant was on released tate of conviction	e pending trial for a federal, so release of the defendant from	tate or local offense. om imprisonment
(4) F	indings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish afety of (an) other person(s) and the c	community. I further find t	hat the defendant has	combination of conditions will not rebutted this presumption	l reasonably assure the
[] (1) T	here is probable cause to believe that	Alternative I	Findings (A) ted an offense		
	for which a maximum term of imp	orisonment of ten years or r	nore is prescribed in		
(2) Ti	] under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). he defendant has not rebutted the pres e appearance of the defendant as req	sumption established by fin	ding 1 that no condition	on or combination of condition	ns will reasonably assure
		Alternative l			
(1) Th	nere is a serious risk that the defendant aree is a serious risk that the defendant	nt will not appear. nt will endanger the safety	of another person or	the community.	
		II—Written Statemen		etention	
I find the derance of the	at the credible testimony and inform ne evidence that	ation submitted at the hear	ing establishes by	clear and convincing evi	dence a prepon-
				<del></del>	
····					
reasonable of Government,	ndant is committed to the custody of a practicable, from persons awaiting protunity for private consultation with the person in charge of the correction with a court proceeding.	or serving sentences or basel. On	designated representa eing held in custody order of a court of th	tive for confinement in a corre pending appeal. The defend the United States or on reques	ant shall be afforded a
	Date			ure of Judge	
				udge Mona K. Majzoub  d Title of Judge	

REAL PROPERTY.

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

## Gerald McArthur Parris Order of Detention

Defendant is charged by way of criminal complaint with felon in possession of a loaded firemarm.

Defendant is a 47 year old single man with no children. He has little contact with his parents, who had no idea of where their son lives. The defendant's father resides in this district and his mother resides in Alabama. Defendant has two siblings who reside in this district as well. Defendant's residence was not able to be confirmed by family members.

Defendant has been unemployed for the past 15 years. He gets by doing odd jobs when they become available to him. He has no assets.

Defendant has a daily crack cocaine habit, and admits to marijuana use since the age of 8 years. He also admits to a daily history of heroin use which he said ended in 2005.

Defendant has a life long history of mental illness, and he reports that he was treated with psychotropic drugs four years ago for depression. While incarcerated at the Dickerson Detention Facility he was also prescribed psychotropic medications.

Defendant has two alias names, three alias dates of birth, and two alias social security numbers.

Defendant has 7 outstanding warrants for failures to appear in court, five emanating from 36<sup>th</sup> district court on narcotics charges, one in the district court in Ypsilanti, and one in the district court in River Rouge. These failure to appear warrants span from September 2009 - November 2011.

Defendant's criminal history is set forth in 3 ½ pages, single spaced, in the Pretrial Services report. It begins in 1983 when Defendant was 18 years old and continues through 2012. There are a minimum of 35 convictions, and multiple other charges for which the dispositions are not known. He has been convicted, by way of example, for battery offenses, theft, fleeing to elude a police officer, obstruction of law enforcement officer by use of threats, felony possession of cocaine, felony possession of controlled substances, assault, felony controlled substance/delivery/manufacture, felony escape, felony robbery, felony home invasion, 1st degree, felony retail fraud, and the instant charge, felon in possession of a firearm.

In addition to the convictions, Defendant's record includes 9 violations of probation to which Defendant plead guilty, and one violation of parole.

Given Defendant's mental health history, his life-long drug history with heroin and cocaine, and his ten violations while under supervision (parole and probation), this Court concludes that Defendant is incapable of complying with any conditions of supervision or any orders of this Court. Defendant's criminal history is unrelenting and has continued steadily over a 30 year period, such that this Court has no confidence that his behaviors would or could change or improve were he to be given bond. This conclusion is underscored by defendant's pattern of continued criminal activity while on state probation and his continued criminal activity while on state parole. He has failed to adjust to any of his state ordered probations or his state parole, according to the record provided.

Defendant is neither a candidate for bond, nor is third party custody to either of his parents or his sister appropriate.

Defendant is deemed to pose a risk of flight by a preponderance of the evidence and he is deemed to be a danger to the community by clear and convincing evidence and based upon the nature of the instant allegations. There are no conditions of bond which would guarantee the safety of the community or defendant's appearance in court.

Detention is therefore Ordered.